



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

1

### Reactie op Lagere regelgeving implementatie Telecomcode

LS,

We waarschuwen al enkele jaren krachtig tegen antennes (o.a. 5G) en andere hulpmiddelen in de openbare ruimten en in andere ruimten. Niet alleen mensen zullen er last van hebben maar ook insecten zoals bijen die nu op bushokjes afkomen omdat er plantjes op het dak groeien. Wij zijn tegen de totale uitrol van 5g. Eerst moet grondig uitgezocht worden wat die teweegbrengt. Bescherm onze gezondheid en die van dieren en insecten. De Flora heeft ook last van deze draadloze straling. Planten reageren immers ook op klassieke muziek die ze prettig vinden en op sommige harde moderne muziek die ze vreselijk vinden. Bomen kunnen ook niet tegen schadelijke straling. Professor Olle Johansson schrijft o.a. :” *For the latter, Taheri et al (2017) have demonstrated that the exposure to 900 MHz GSM mobile phone radiation and 2.4 GHz radiofrequency radiation emitted from common Wi-Fi routers made Listeria monocytogenes and Escherichia coli resistant to different antibiotics. To say this finding is “scary” is a classical English understatement.*” Zie verder de bijlage op bl. 10 en verder.

[Bees dying under 5G poles - YouTube](#) Bees falling out of sky around 2 5G poles

Quote:

For example, [several experiments](#) with [continuous radio irradiation](#) of hives in [India](#), Russia and [Germany](#) have consistently shown that the exposure of radiation leads to increased stress reactions in the bees, which ultimately can lead to the death of the bee colony.

[Does 5G mean the final knockout for the bees? \(thegreentimes.co.za\)](#)

Quote:

In their report on bee populations in India, scientists Ved Prakash Sharma and Neelima Kumar noticed that an increase in the usage of electronic devices had led to electropollution of the environment. “Honeybee behaviour and biology had been affected by electrosmog since these insects have *magnetite\** in their bodies which helps them in navigation”, they said.

[Why honeybees dying? Dangers of cell phone radiation | Mudita](#)



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

2

[\\*Biogenic magnetite as a basis for magnetic field detection in animals - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#)

*Are Mobile Phones Killing Off Honey Bees?*

Written by Paul Stadelhofer, 27-03-2017

**Quote: *Could radiation from mobile phones be causing bees to die off? A group of schoolkids in Germany have carried out an award-winning experiment to find out exactly that.*** [Are Mobile Phones Killing Off Honey Bees? | Biodiversity | RESET.org](#)

Artsen

Het bestuur van de Artsen Vereniging Integrale Geneeskunde (AVIG) is zeer bezorgd over het uitrollen van 5G. Volgens hun gegevens zijn de gezondheidsrisico's nog onvoldoende onderzocht en in kaart gebracht door onafhankelijke wetenschappers.

Het gehele persbericht:

[5G - de AVIG](#)

[16 juni - ElektroHyperSensitivity Day; promotie van het boek Even de draad kwijt - LET'S TALK ABOUT TECH \(letstalkabouttech.nl\)](#)

Bovendien:



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

3

13 APRIL 2020

### Nederland is vanuit de EU niet verplicht om 5G in te voeren: parlementele vragen aan de EC

**Parliamentary questions** 43k 9k **12 February 2020** P-000873/2020/rev.1 **Priority question for written answer to the Commission**

**Rule 138**

**Ivan Vilibor Sinčić** Answer in writing **Subject: Legal basis for the introduction of the next generation of mobile telephony** I should like to put the following questions regarding 5G to the Commission: 1 What is the official position of the EU on the Ministerial Declaration 'Making 5G a success for Europe', signed on 18 July 2017 in Tallinn, which is being interpreted in Croatia as an obligation for every Member State to provide 5G network coverage for at least one city in that country? **Can such an obligation be imposed on cities and local self-government units without the consent of local authorities and citizens?** 2 **Is there any EU directive providing for an obligation to introduce 5G technology in 2020, i.e., full coverage of at least one city in each Member State?** 3 What is the EU's position on the appeal sent by 252 scientists from 43 countries to the UN and the WHO, which calls for stricter radiation protection standards and proposes the suspension of the implementation of 5G technology until possible health and environmental effects are examined? Original language of question: HR Last updated: 20 February 2020

**Parliamentary questions**

35k 12k

**17 March 2020**

P-000873/2020

**Answer given by Mr Breton  
on behalf of the European Commission**

**Question reference: P-000873/2020**



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

4

The Commission considers 5G as of strategic importance for Europe since it will be the connectivity basis for the digital transformation of our economy, in particular in strategic sectors such as transport, energy, manufacturing, health and media. The 5G Action Plan includes actions to align roadmaps and priorities for a coordinated 5G deployment across all EU Member states. **The Ministerial Declaration 'Making 5G a success for Europe' calls to support the objectives to make 5G a success for Europe. These are political commitments to support the objectives, e.g. by making frequencies available and ensure interoperability, which as such do not represent a legal obligation.** KNIP einde van de quote.

(1) International Commission on Non-Ionising Radiation Protection (2) The Commission's Scientific Committee on Health, Environmental and Emerging Risks .

Last updated: 18 March 2020

[https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/P-9-2020-000873\\_EN.html?fbclid=IwAR2w18K0m3IVgQOEDEYIUcJoc6IYmkIMPi03H8ifRg7OnmWl0u1\\_NZ6AMLI](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/P-9-2020-000873_EN.html?fbclid=IwAR2w18K0m3IVgQOEDEYIUcJoc6IYmkIMPi03H8ifRg7OnmWl0u1_NZ6AMLI) en [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/P-9-2020-000873-ASW\\_EN.html?fbclid=IwAR2wuDM5t5A-BW3IDMVTRXwW3dOq3-nj2uBTRbMSEejMCrcSdisHOj54Pdo](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/P-9-2020-000873-ASW_EN.html?fbclid=IwAR2wuDM5t5A-BW3IDMVTRXwW3dOq3-nj2uBTRbMSEejMCrcSdisHOj54Pdo)

[Answer for question P-000873/20 \(europa.eu\)](#)

Waarom zou u dit dan doen voor er een grondig - en up-to-date onderzoek heeft plaatsgevonden?

Quotes: *Bijzondere bushaltes:*

*Utrecht kiest voor bijvriendelijke daken*

- 08/08/19 om 10:38
- Bijgewerkt om 10:51



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

5

**Lotte Philipsen**

Journalist KnackWeekend.be

***Bij de Noorderburen dacht de gemeente Utrecht na over hoe ze bijen genoeg voedsel kunnen verschaffen. Meer dan driehonderd Utrechtse bushokjes kregen daarom een groen dak, vol lekkers voor de zoemende dieren.***

**Bron:** [Bijzondere bushaltes: Utrecht kiest voor bijvriendelijke daken - Radar - Knack Weekend](#)

WOENSDAG 24 APRIL 2019, 15.44 UUR

*Geen onkruid maar milieubewuste plantjes op Utrechtse bushokjes*

Fragment:

### **GROTE VOORDELEN**

*Zo'n tuintje boven het hoofd biedt verschillende voordelen. "Het helpt bijvoorbeeld bij het afvangen van fijnstof en het is goed voor insecten," vertelt wethouder Diepeveen.*

Zie ook: [Duurzaam wachten op de bus: 'Groene bushokjes maken het verschil' | Utrecht | AD.nl](#)

U schrijft:

### **Stralingslimiet voor 5G-antennes**

*Een onafhankelijk internationaal wetenschappelijk instituut bepaalt de limieten voor het gebruik van 5G-frequenties. Op basis van onderzoeken naar gezondheidseffecten blijken [elektromagnetische velden niet gevaarlijk voor de gezondheid van mensen](#). Het Agentschap Telecom handhaaft de limieten. [Invoering van 5G in Nederland | Telecommunicatie | Rijksoverheid.nl](#)*



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

6

Doch lees onderstaande brandbrief uit de USA en de belangrijke bijlage vanaf pag. 10.

Deze twee brieven dient u als ingelaste informatie te beschouwen.

### **Wireless Safety Standards Should Protect People and Wildlife Bron: [ehtrust.org](http://ehtrust.org)**

*U.S. safety limits for wireless radiation have not been reviewed for over 25 years. In August, a landmark federal court ruled that the FCC did not adequately review all the evidence when the FCC refused to update its 1996 wireless radiation exposure limits. The court ruling states the FCC did not respond to research indicating numerous harmful effects from long term exposures, especially for children. Furthermore the FCC was found to have “completely failed” to respond to science showing harm to the environment — our trees, birds and pollinators.*

*Leading U.S scientists have written to President Biden and U.S. Congress calling on them to take immediate action to ensure science-based protections for the public and the environment.*

*Join EHT's letter writing campaign calling on our elected leaders to support the scientists and to take the following actions after this landmark court won:*

- *A comprehensive research and policy review by the National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine.*
- *An environmental impact review for 5G and 4G deployment which includes not only effects from increased radiation but also climate impacts from increased energy use of the new networks and devices.*



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

7

- *A halt to 5G and wireless densification until the development of science-based wireless radiation safety limits for human, wildlife, and environmental exposures by U.S. health and environmental agencies that protect against biological effects.*
- *A congressional hearing to investigate how this issue has been handled for the last two decades by federal agencies and to ensure policymakers are aware of the latest science and policy recommendations.*
- *A federal action plan which includes monitoring and public posting of environmental exposures; ensuring post-market surveillance for health effects; enacting policies to reduce public exposures; and educating consumers about how they can personally reduce their exposure.*

*America needs to invest in a safe technology infrastructure instead of racing into 5G. Our government health agencies can and should develop safety limits for wireless based on the latest research. Educating our elected officials about this ruling and demanding federal accountability depends on us.*

*Act Now! Our letter writing campaign makes it easy.*

*Simply enter your information to send a letter to your representatives to encourage them to take action to protect the public and the environment.*

### RESOURCES

[2021 Letter to President Biden and Congress](#)  
[Ruling in EHT et al v FCC by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit](#)



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

8

[New Hampshire State Commission Final Report of the 5G Health and Environmental Effects](#)

[Factsheet on August Court Ruling to share with elected officials](#)

Read more science at [ehtrust.org](http://ehtrust.org)

[Wireless Safety Standards Should Protect People and Wildlife - Action Network](#)

**5G mobile networking technology will affect not only the skin and eyes,**

*.....but will have adverse systemic effects as well.*

*Adverse health effects of 5G mobile networking technology under real-life conditions (2020).*

Author links open overlay panel [Ronald](#)

[N.Kostoff<sup>a</sup>PaulHeroux<sup>b</sup>MichaelAschner<sup>c</sup>AristidesTsatsakis<sup>de</sup>](#)

Show more

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2020.01.020>Get rights and content

Go to our site about 5G/Ga naar [onze webstek over 5G.](#)

Nederlands:

*Wetenschappelijke paper uit 2020: De 5G mobiele netwerktechnologie zal niet alleen de huid en ogen aantasten maar zal ook tegengestelde effecten in ons systeem hebben.*

**Review Microwave frequency electromagnetic fields (EMFs) produce widespread neuropsychiatric effects including depression**

[Journal of Chemical Neuroanatomy](#)



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

9

*Volume 75, Part B, September 2016, Pages 43-51*

Author: Martin L.Pall. [Show more](#)

### Highlights

2 Microwave EMFs activate voltage-gated Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels (VGCCs) concentrated in the brain.

Animal studies show such low level MWV EMFs have diverse high impacts in the brain.

VGCC activity causes widespread neuropsychiatric effects in humans (genetic studies).

26 studies have EMFs assoc. with neuropsychiatric effects; 5 criteria show causality.

MWV EMFs cause at least 13 neuropsychiatric effects including depression in humans.”

Under a Creative Commons [license](#)

Hoogachtend,

Namens het Burgerinitiatief *Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling*

Lelystad

[www.gentechvrij.nl/5g](http://www.gentechvrij.nl/5g)



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

10

**Belangrijke bijlage:** Professor Olle Johansson's letter to House of Commons UK MP on behalf of EMF Aware Sussex.

### PROF OLLE JOHANSSON – LETTER TO UK MEMBER OF PARLIAMENT ON EMF AND 5G CONCERNS

Posted on [October 5, 2020](#) by [ES-Ireland](#)

30th September 2020

Professor Olle Johansson's letter to House of Commons UK MP on behalf of EMF Aware Sussex

---

House of Commons  
Westminster  
London  
SW1A 0AA  
UK

Dear Honourable Sir,

The subject of my letter: The serious truth about wireless 2G, 3G, 4G, 5G needs to be urgently heeded – before the rollout of 5G results in an increase in the permitted levels of electromagnetic microwave radiation in the UK.

My name is Olle Johansson, and I am an associate professor, retired from the world-famous Karolinska Institute and the equally famous Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden, both with their close associations to the Nobel Prizes in Physiology or Medicine, Chemistry and Physics, and I am submitting testimony because I understand that you at present are concerned about the fast deployment of 5G wireless systems in your country, without adequate sharing of information with the public.



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

11

I have been contacted before by several residents of your beautiful country in connection with the proposed base station installations, wireless systems near and in schools, and more. I would point out that children are more vulnerable to these kinds of radiation exposures. You may also be aware that I have recently (November 5, 2019) presented my views at the Italian Parliament at a meeting organized by Mr. Maurizio Martucci, Mr. Giorgio Cinciripini, and others; and so I have done several times before; had it not been for the coronavirus/COVID-19 worldwide lockdown I would have visited the UK already this last Spring, and made an additional number of other journeys to various countries around the planet.

For many years I have been studying the health effects of wireless gadgets, such as cell phones, WiFi, and similar. My research decades ago was instrumental to determine the old CRT computer monitors were biologically harmful and that's why we switched them out for the less impactful flat-screen monitors. I also played a similar role for the protection of pregnant women in front of computers.

Wireless communication is now being implemented in our daily life in a very fast way. At the same time, it is becoming more and more obvious that exposure to electromagnetic fields may result in highly unwanted health effects. This has been demonstrated in a very large number of studies and includes cellular DNA-damage (which may lead to the initiation of cancer as well as mutations that carry down generations), disruptions and alterations of cellular functions like increases in intracellular stimulatory pathways and calcium handling, disruption of tissue structures like the blood-brain barrier (which may allow toxins to enter the brain), impact on the vessel and immune functions, and loss of fertility. It should be noted that we are not the only species at jeopardy, practically all animals, plants and bacteria may be at stake. **For the latter, Taheri et al (2017) have demonstrated that the exposure to 900 MHz GSM mobile phone radiation and 2.4 GHz radiofrequency radiation emitted from common Wi-Fi routers made *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Escherichia coli* resistant to different antibiotics. To say this finding is "scary" is a classical English understatement.**



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

12

Because the effects are reproducibly observed and links to pathology can not be excluded, the Precautionary Principle should be in force in the implementation of this new technology within the society. Therefore, policymakers immediately should strictly control exposure by defining biologically-based maximal exposure guidelines also taking into account long-term, non-thermal effects, **and including especially vulnerable groups, such as the elderly, the ill, the genetically and/or immunologically challenged, children and foetuses, and persons with the functional impairment electrohypersensitivity (which in Sweden is a fully recognized functional impairment, and therefore receives an annual governmental disability subsidy).**

**So, in essence, science is providing ever more convincing evidence that the radiation emitted by our wireless telecommunications systems can affect biological systems including humans and wildlife. These biological effects are acting even at very low exposure levels.**

The consequences on health and environment can be all the more serious because:

- exposure is ubiquitous, repeated and/or prolonged,
- radiation from wireless technologies is modulated, pulsed, polarized,
- some individuals may be more vulnerable (foetuses, children, sick patients,...), and/or the effects being much more prolonged (foetuses, children),
- exposure is combined with other pollutants (e.g. chemical pollutants).

Damages on health and environment are already noticeable at exposure levels similar to those that are currently met in the UK.

It is clearly not enough just to ensure exposure levels are below WHO recommended levels. WHO recommendations are designed to protect cells from



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

13

excessive temperature increase successive to a maximal 30 minutes exposure to radiofrequency/microwave radiations. The bases for these recommendations were established in the late 1990s and have not been revised since then, even though:

- wireless technologies have developed very rapidly over the past 20 years,
- exposure pattern has completely changed (ubiquitous, repeated, prolonged exposure, exposure of children, fetuses, etc.)
- considerable scientific progress has been made in the identification of biological and health effects.

Not everyone agrees on the question of absolute proof of damage because a certain number of unknowns remain, even at the scientific level. But there is no point using the fact that not all the grey areas have yet been dispelled to assert that there would be no health and environmental effects caused by the widespread deployment of wireless devices and networks.

To date, we can no longer deny that thousands and thousands of studies indicate very real effects. The unbridled development of wireless systems is, in the more or less short term, conflicting with health and protection of ecosystems. Observations and return on experience indicate that damages are already in action.

I would like to remind you that, in 2011, the World Health Organization classified the radiofrequency and microwave emissions of wireless technologies as possible carcinogens. However, cancer is only one of the long-term consequences of prolonged exposure. Radiofrequency radiation affects our cells long before cancer develops. Our body reacts with oxidative stress and inflammatory processes. When the exposure is repeated or prolonged, these mechanisms are maintained and may cause sleep disorders, disturbances in



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

14

cognitive and reproductive functions, damage to cells and DNA. In the long run, the body's defence systems are being exhausted and diseases are threatening:

- repeated infections,
- infertility,
- developmental disorders (e. g. embryonic),
- neurological and neuropsychiatric disorders,
- cardiovascular diseases,
- neurodegenerative diseases including Alzheimer's disease,
- cancers.

Foetuses, children, are particularly affected because they may be more vulnerable, and/or the effects being much more prolonged. Also, they form the only basis for the future of mankind.

Every generation of wireless technology also swells the ranks of electrohypersensitive people who physically suffer from being exposed to electromagnetic radiations, whether or not they are aware of their electrohypersensitivity. Nocebo or psychological explanations are clearly not sufficient to explain the phenomenon.

Deploying 5G in addition to existing technologies, for sure, will increase the exposure of the UK's population. But beyond the additional layer of electromagnetic pollution, it will constitute, there is a strong suspicion that 5G, because of its technological specificities (frequencies, modulations, pulsations, narrowly focused and directional beams, densification of the antenna networks),



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

15

will present even more serious health and environmental risks than existing technologies.

Engineers and the telecom industry readily argue that there is nothing to worry about because the high-frequency radiation of 5G will be absorbed mainly at the periphery of the body. This is based on the presumed skin characteristic that the higher the frequency of radiation, the shallower the depth of radiation penetration. In other words, most of the electromagnetic absorption (and heating) would occur over the first few millimetres of the body's surface.

Concluding that there is no risk is forgetting that surface effects can be significant on external cells and tissues (skin, eyes for example), as well as on all blood cells which will pass the outer portion of the skin every five minutes. There are reasons to suspect that the deployment of 5G may be accompanied by an increase in the number of melanomas and other skin cancers and eye disorders. Finally, from practical tests, no such shielding effect has been demonstrated pointing to that the penetration is, after all, total.

But not only surface effects are of concern. There is also a strong suspicion that 5G radiation can have impacts far beyond the peripheral layers of the body. Living materials are not just homogeneous and inert conductive materials. It is a major mistake to omit the complexity of biological systems capable of responding to external electromagnetic stimuli otherwise than just through heating. Electromagnetic disturbances and chemical mediators (e.g. inflammatory mediators) can be spread throughout the body and induce biological (non-thermal) effects deep into the body. Such disturbances will also have an ideal avenue of spread via the peripheral nerves, the latter being found as superficial as 20-40  $\mu\text{m}$  from the outer surface.

+++++



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

16

One should also remember that Professor Paolo Vecchia, head of ICNIRP at the time, at a conference at the Royal Society in London, said this in 2008 about using ICNIRP's technical guidelines:

“What they are not:

Mandatory prescriptions for safety

The “last word” on the issue

Defensive walls for industry or others”

(verbatim quote from voice recording)

**He strongly emphasized that the ICNIRP guidelines are only technical in nature, and never were intended to be used as safety recommendations for medical issues or biological ones.**

Furthermore, it should be noted that only one hygienic safety value ever has been proposed:  $0.0000000001-0.0000000000001 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$  – this is the natural background during normal cosmic activities; proposed by myself at a trade union meeting in Stockholm, already in 1997 (i.e. one year before the publication of ICNIRP's 1998 paper), as a genuine hygienic safety value, and since then many times repeatedly presented. (Given the highly artificial nature of the current wireless communication signals, e.g. of their pulsations and modulations, it may actually boil down to 0 (zero)  $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$  as the true safe level.) And do not ever believe it is possible to play it “safer” by only somewhat reducing the exposure levels! (cf. Johansson O, “To understand adverse health effects of artificial electromagnetic fields... ..is “rocket science” needed or just common sense?”, In: Essays on Consciousness – Towards a New Paradigm (ed. I. Fredriksson), Balboa Press, Bloomington, IN, USA, 2018, pp 1-38, ISBN 978-1-9822-0811-0). Ironically, this means that even a Precautionary Principle – if it is not firm enough – may not prove precautionary at all. Instead, it could lead to the classical “Late lessons from early warnings” or to my quote “Too late lessons from early warnings”... (Are you prepared to risk that for a set of toys, rather than life necessities..?)



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

17

So to believe that one single 6-, 10-, or 30-minute exposure of a fluid-filled plastic doll, in an otherwise completely radiation-free environment, only calculating acute heating effects, will be any form of safety measure is more than naive. It is dangerously naive.

The big players, like the WHO, the radiation protection authorities, the telecom manufacturers, the telecom operators, the insurance and the reinsurance industry are not naive, and they have, therefore – legally – all ‘abandoned ship’, some more than 20 years ago, leaving the consumers and their parliaments and governments completely behind on a ship that floats helplessly around. The big player’s decisions are far more telling than any test tube, mice or rat experiments I can show you, and it is therefore very high time to call these big players back. They sold us this “safe” ship, and now they need to prove that it actually is. And also for the other G:s, like 2G, 3G and 4G, and the upcoming 6G and 7G.

+++++

All living beings are electrosensitive! And given the extraordinary electromagnetic sensitivity of living systems, it is not a surprise that they can be affected even at lower exposure levels, especially if the exposure is ubiquitous and prolonged. And the exposure levels, as you know, are not “low” – compared to the natural background of such frequencies the man-made ones come at colossal, astronomical, biblical levels; just the current 3G systems are allowed at a maximal exposure level of 1,000,000,000,000,000 times the natural background!

All around the world dramatic reductions in pollinating insect populations are noted, for instance in Germany where more than 75% of them are just gone. I am particularly concerned about this because I already have a number of papers in my files dealing with this angle; I have even recently written a short commentary based on them: [Johansson O, “To bee, or not to bee, that is the five “G” question”, Newsvoice.se 28/5, 2019, https://newsvoice.se/2019/05/5g-question-olle-johansson/](https://newsvoice.se/2019/05/5g-question-olle-johansson/). I also know that other areas around the world have



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

18

reported similar huge bee colony collapses, and my strong efforts now are to seek ways to conserve, protect and enhance our pollinators, wherever they reside, and thus conserve, protect and enhance ourselves. If we do not engage, then we certainly may head towards a moment in history where future generations – if any – will ask us “Why didn’t you react and act?”

Existing wireless technologies are increasingly charged because of the major risks they pose to health and the environment. As a result, I support your concerned citizens in their demand for taking all necessary measures to halt the deployment of 5G and reduce the overall exposure levels.

**I suggest you act before it is too late.**

You, and your peers, have an incredible opportunity to protect the public now and work with industry to bring biologically safe technology to market. You are part of the future, and this time in another moral-ethical realm. And remember this issue is not about natural exposures, it is about adverse health and biological effects of artificial electromagnetic fields.

Thank you for your time and consideration, please do not hesitate to contact me if I can provide additional information, complete scientific references, or presenting a lecture once again to you and your highly esteemed colleagues and constituents.

+++++

For further reading, see e.g.:

Johansson O, “Associate professor: Wireless radiation – the biggest full-scale biomedical experiment ever done on Earth”, Newsvoice.se 5/8, 2018a

<https://newsvoice.se/.../wireless-radiation-biomedical-exper.../>



## Een Pas Op de Plaats Voor Ongezonde Straling

19

Bandara P, Johansson O, "Comment on exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields from Wi-Fi in Australian schools", Radiat Prot Dosimetry 2018; 178: 288-291

Johansson O, "Is the 'electrosmog' finally clearing?", Newsvoice.se 4/2, 2019a

<https://newsvoice.se/2019/02/electrosmog-clearing/>

Johansson O, Ferm R, " "Yes, Prime Minister" Stefan Löfven, but no! This is not good enough!", Newsvoice.se 3/5, 2020

<https://newsvoice.se/.../stefan-lofven-5g-microwave-radiation/>

Santini R, Johansson O, "If 5G is not deemed safe in the USA, and nowhere in the rest of the world, by the insurance industry ... why is it by the Danish government?", Newsvoice.se 8/7, 2020

<https://newsvoice.se/2020/07/5g-not-safe-usa/>

Johansson O, Rebel TK, McGavin B, "Global 5G protest warns of health and ecological costs", Newsvoice.se 5/9, 2020

<https://newsvoice.se/.../global-5g-protest-warns-of-health-a.../>

+++++

Respectfully, Stockholm, September 30, 2020

Olle Johansson

Professor, PhD

[PROF OLLE JOHANSSON – LETTER TO UK MEMBER OF PARLIAMENT ON EMF AND 5G CONCERNS | Electromagnetic Sense Ireland \(es-ireland.com\)](#)

Rode passages door ons gemarkeerd.